

WRIA 13 Deschutes Salmon Habitat
 Recovery Committee
 2017 Citizen and Technical Ranking
 Criteria:
**COMBINATION RESTORATION AND
 ACQUISITION PROJECTS**

Applicant	Project Title
Reviewer	Date

GENERAL STREAM PRIORITY	Tier		
	A	B	C
	Deschutes	Adams	Indian – Moxlie
	Green Cove	Ellis	Mission
	McLane	Percival	Other Puget Sound Tribs
		Woodard	
		Woodland	

A higher score indicates greater salmon recovery benefit

Overall Criteria:

Are the landowners aware of the project proposal being considered for funding? YES
NO

Are the landowners willing to have the proposed action occur on their property? YES
NO

Category	Criteria for Evaluating Project's Benefit	Score
Action and Areas	<p>1.a. For saltwater projects: is the project located within a high priority area for restoration and acquisition, according to the project selection tool or equivalent scientific model?</p> <p>-or-</p> <p>1.b. For freshwater projects: is the project located within a high priority stream according to the stream ranking priority table?</p>	<i>10 points possible</i>
Scientific	2. Is there a direct and tangible benefit to salmon?	<i>10 points possible</i>
Feasibility	<p>3. Can the <u>project</u> as it is outlined, accomplish the stated objectives? (5 points possible)</p> <p>-and (<i>answer both questions</i>)-</p> <p>4. Does the <u>sponsor</u> have the necessary expertise in this area to accomplish the project objectives as timed, budgeted, planned and sited? (5 points possible)</p>	<i>Total 10 points possible</i> <hr/>
Species	5. Does the project have a multi-species approach, with emphasis on protecting healthy stocks (i.e. chum) and restoring declining stocks (i.e. Coho)?	<i>5 points possible</i>
Life History	6. Does the project address an important life history stage or habitat type that limits the productivity of salmonid species in the area?	<i>5 points possible</i>
Cost	<p>7. Are overall costs reasonable for project type and location? (5 points possible)</p> <p>-and (<i>answer both questions</i>)-</p> <p>8. Does this project represent a sound investment of public funds? <i>As an example, consider match amount and source as you answer this question.</i> (5 points possible)</p>	<i>10 points possible</i> <hr/>
PROJECT BENEFIT TOTAL		<i>/50</i>

Category	Criteria for Evaluating Project's Certainty	Score
Scope	<p>9. Is the scope of the work proposed appropriate to meet the project's goals and objectives? (5 points possible)</p> <p>-and (<i>answer both questions</i>)-</p> <p>10. Is the scale of the project appropriate per the identified need, as outlined by the relevant plan or model? (5 points possible)</p>	<p><i>Total 10 points possible</i></p> <hr/>
Approach	<p>11. Is there a high likelihood of project success, based upon modeling and/or experience? (5 points possible)</p> <p>-and (<i>answer both questions</i>)-</p> <p>12. Does the sponsor have a project adaptive management plan in place for the project? (5 points possible)</p>	<p><i>Total 10 points possible</i></p> <hr/>
Sequence	<p>13. Does the project address habitat features in the appropriate order and if not, is there adequate justification for why not? (5 points possible)</p> <p>-and (<i>answer both questions</i>)-</p> <p>14. Does the project build upon other projects within the watershed? (5 points possible)</p>	<p><i>Total 10 points possible</i></p> <hr/>
Threat	<p>15. Is the habitat threatened if the project does not proceed?</p>	<p><i>10 points possible</i></p>
Stewardship	<p>16. Is there a stewardship plan in place following project implementation?</p>	<p><i>5 points possible</i></p>
Implementation	<p>17. If funded, will the project proceed to implementation with few or no known constraints?</p>	<p><i>10 points possible</i></p>
PROJECT CERTAINTY TOTAL		<i>/55</i>
Category	Criteria for Evaluating Community Support	Score
Partnerships	<p>18. Does the project combine expertise from other groups and/or agencies that benefit the project, salmon and future collaboration? (5 points possible)</p>	<p><i>Total 10 points possible</i></p>

	possible) -and (<i>answer both questions</i>)- 19. Does the proposal engage community groups, businesses and/or landowners as project partners? (5 points possible)	<hr/>
Community Contribution	20. Does the proposal engage community groups, businesses and/or landowners as project partners or volunteers?	<i>10 points possible</i>
Location	21.a. Does the project occur in an area where it can serve as an effective outreach tool to engage landowners? - or - 22.b. Is the project the first in a priority area where it can serve to engage adjacent landowners or is the landowner pivotal to obtaining community engagement?	<i>10 points possible</i>
Education	23. Does the sponsor have a plan to highlight the project and its results in the community? (5 points possible) -and (<i>answer both questions</i>)- 24. Will the site / landowner allow for more active education, in the form of signage, field trips, site visits, etc? (5 points possible)	<i>Total 10 points possible</i> <hr/>
Tribal Support	25. Is the Squaxin Island Tribe supportive of this project?	<i>10 points possible</i>
Community Benefit	26. Does the project benefit the broader community? For example, offering flood reduction, open space for recreation, etc.	<i>10 points possible</i>
	COMMUNITY SUPPORT TOTAL	/60
	Benefit Total (questions 1-8, 50 points possible)	
	Certainty Total (questions 9-17, 55 points possible)	
	Community Support Total (questions 18-26, 60 points possible)	
	OVERALL PROJECT TOTAL	/165

	(translate this number to a ranked number and carry that number to the top right corner of the first page)	