

WRIA 13 Deschutes Salmon Habitat Recovery Committee 2018 Citizen and Technical Ranking Criteria: **ACQUISITION PROJECTS**

Applicant	Project Title
Reviewer	Date

GENERAL STREAM PRIORITY	Tier		
	A	B	C
	Deschutes	Adams	Indian – Moxlie
	Green Cove	Ellis	Mission
	McLane	Percival	Other Puget Sound Tribes
		Woodard	
		Woodland	

A higher score indicates greater salmon recovery benefit

Overall Criteria:

Are the landowners aware of the project proposal being considered for funding?

YES NO

Are the landowners willing to have the proposed action occur on their property?

YES NO

Category	Criteria for Evaluating Project's Benefit	Score
Action and Areas	<p>1.a. For saltwater projects: is the project located within a high priority area for restoration, according to the project selection tool or equivalent scientific model?</p> <p>-or- (choose one only)</p> <p>1.b. For freshwater projects: is the project located within a high priority stream according to the stream ranking priority table?</p>	<i>10 points possible</i>
Scientific	2. Is there a direct and tangible benefit to salmon?	<i>10 points possible</i>
Feasibility	3. Does the sponsor have the necessary expertise in this area to accomplish the project objectives as scheduled, budgeted, planned and sited?	<i>10 points possible</i>
Species	4. Does the project have a multi-species approach, with emphasis on protecting healthy stocks (i.e. chum) and restoring declining stocks (i.e. Coho)?	<i>5 points possible</i>
Life History	5. Does the project protect an important life history stage or habitat type that limits the productivity of salmonid species in the area?	<i>5 points possible</i>
Cost	<p>6. Are overall costs reasonable for project type and location? (5 points possible)</p> <p>-and (<i>answer both questions</i>)-</p> <p>7. Does this project represent a sound investment of public funds? <i>As an example, consider match amount and source as you answer this question.</i> (5 points possible)</p>	<p><i>Total 10 points possible</i></p> <hr/>
PROJECT BENEFIT TOTAL		<i>/50</i>
Category	Criteria for Evaluating Project's Certainty	Score
Scope	8. Is the scale of the project appropriate per the identified need, as outlined by the relevant plan or model?	<i>10 points possible</i>

Approach	<p>9. Is the correct protection instrument utilized for the fullest benefit to salmon (easement vs. fee-simple acquisition)? (5 points possible)</p> <p>-and (answer both questions)-</p> <p>10.a. Will additional work be necessary to restore the area for fullest salmon benefit?</p> <p>-or (award up to 5 points to either 10.a. or 10.b.)-</p> <p>10.b. Does the project protect high quality intact habitat?</p>	<p><i>Total 10 points possible</i></p> <hr/>
Sequence	<p>11. Is the project appropriately sited, adjacent to other protected lands? (5 points possible)</p> <p><i>-and (answer both questions)-</i></p> <p>12. Does the project result in long-term benefit that builds, upon other actions, such as creating corridors, that effect land use? (5 points possible)</p>	<p><i>Total 10 points possible</i></p> <hr/>
Threat	<p>13. Is the habitat threatened if the project does not proceed?</p>	<p><i>10 points possible</i></p>
Stewardship	<p>14. Is there a stewardship plan in place following project implementation?</p>	<p><i>5 points possible</i></p>
Implementation	<p>15. If funded, will the <u>project</u> proceed to implementation with few or no known constraints?</p>	<p><i>10 points possible</i></p>
PROJECT CERTAINTY TOTAL		<i>/55</i>
Category	Criteria for Evaluating Community Support	Score
Partnerships	<p>16. Does the project combine expertise from other groups and/or agencies that benefit the project, salmon and future collaboration?</p>	<p><i>10 points possible</i></p>

Community Contribution	17. Does the proposal engage community groups, businesses and/or landowners as project partners or volunteers?	<i>10 points possible</i>
Location	18.a. Does the project occur in an area where it can serve as an asset to the community, either for recreation or open space? - or - 18.b. Is the project the first in a priority area where it can serve to engage adjacent or pivotal landowners?	<i>10 points possible</i>
Education	19. Does the sponsor have a plan to actively highlight the project and its results in the community? (5 points possible) -and (answer both questions)- 20. Does the project allow for education of the public regarding salmon and their habitat? (5 points possible)	<i>Total 10 points possible</i> <hr/>
Tribal Support	21. Is the Squaxin Island Tribe supportive of this project? (x points)	<i>10 points possible</i>
Community Benefit	22. Does the project benefit the broader community? For example, offering flood reduction, open space for recreation, etc.	<i>10 points possible</i>
	COMMUNITY SUPPORT TOTAL	<i>/60</i>
	Benefit Total (questions 1-7, 50 points possible)	
	Certainty Total (questions 8-15, 55 points possible)	
	Community Support Total (questions 16-22, 60 points possible)	
	OVERALL PROJECT TOTAL (translate this number to a ranked score and carry it to the top right corner of the first page)	<i>/165</i>