



Board of Supervisors
Monthly Meeting Minutes
Tuesday, June 6, 2017
2:00pm

Present at Meeting:

Eric Johnson, TCD Board Chair
Aslan Meade, TCD Board
Amy Franks, TCD Staff
Kathleen Whalen, Executive Director

Richard Mankamyer, TCD Board
Doug Rushton, TCD Board
Ashley McBee, TCD Staff

Action Items:

1. Eric to review personal audio recording and provide clarification on February 28th meeting minutes
2. Staff will email updated Board Supervisor Guidelines & Officer Position Descriptions policy to Supervisors
3. Amy will check with MRCS: Can we establish policy to allow us to hire service providers not on the MRSC roster (lower bids, sole proprietorship) and exact process service providers have to go through to get on MRSC roster
4. Staff will update Subcontracting Procedures and Bid Threshold policy based on Board edits
5. Board will review Subcontracting Procedures and Bid Threshold policy again at next Board meeting

Eric Johnson called the meeting to order at 10:00 am. There was a quorum.

1. Welcome, Introductions, Audio Recording Announcement, and Pledge of Allegiance

- Welcome & Introductions conducted
- Eric announced meeting being recorded
- Richard noted Pledge of Allegiance is optional
- Pledge of Allegiance conducted

2. Public Comment

- None

3. Agenda Review

- Remove Agenda Item #4 (Thurston County HCP Update)
- Remove Agenda Item #5 (Executive Session)
- Remove Agenda Item #10 (TCD Annual Board Officer Appointments); Postpone to next meeting

4. Thurston County HCP Update, Chris Chaput, Thurston County

- Chris Chaput not present

5. Staff Reports

A. Kathy Whalen

- Communicating with Enduris re: Board questions about insurance coverage/liability for contracts impacted by ESA; Enduris feedback is that the District could be sued and possibly liable; This would likely be up to the jurisdiction authority; This is more of a legal contract and indemnification question; Fines are not typically covered under the Memorandum of Coverage with Enduris
- Eric: Reach out to NRCS & Fish & Wildlife Staff; Doug: NMFS has jurisdiction over salmonids

B. Staff Report Discussion

- Kathy recommendation: Important to have face-to-face interaction between Board & Staff, and Staff Reports should be prioritized to top of agenda to prevent paying Staff time and a half to attend; Doug: Concurs with Kathy mostly; Has been against Staff Reports because Board currently receives written Weekly Update; If Board needs further clarification, can request Staff adjust hours are adjusted to be present
- Richard: Like receiving Staff Reports and Weekly Updates; Good to hear updates first hand; Suggestion to rotate Staff Reports; Aslan: Would like to find other ways to reduce Agendas, as it is valuable to hear from

54 Staff; Although, happy to cut Staff Reports, use rotations, or request specific Staff/program updates in order
55 to shorten board meetings
56 ■ Eric: Like Staff Reports (in person); Important to connect with TCD on a personal level; As far as asking
57 for further clarification when needed, has requested individual Staff/program report in past and took eight
58 months for Staff to prepare & deliver report and was delivered by a different Staff, so not able to get
59 questions answered; Imperative to have Staff attend board meetings and provide brief updates
60 ■ Kathy: Staff schedules cannot always be altered to allow attendance, as grant deliverables must receive
61 priority; Eric: Understand, but Kathleen Berger has not attended a board meeting in 6 months; Kathy: Due
62 to Staff Reports so low on agenda and already working eight hour day and pregnant; Eric: Thinks there
63 have been three meetings where Staff Reports were higher up on Agenda; Kathy: In April Kathleen was at a
64 Conservation Planner training; Eric: Asked for Kathleen B. to give report at next meeting
65 ■ Aslan: Hears a Staff recommendation to keep Staff Reports and put them up higher on agenda; Aslan agrees
66 it should be on Agenda at a time that Staff schedules allow for attendance
67 ■ Richard: What if we started board meetings earlier? General discussion: Aslan: Is that a request for longer
68 meetings? Richard: No. Eric: How does Board feel about splitting into two meetings per month? Richard:
69 Could be option to do second and last Tuesday until we get caught up and then could always eliminate one
70 meeting; Eric: Agrees; Aslan: Two meetings is outrageous, no other board in Thurston County needs six
71 hours to conduct business monthly; Could do much better with time management to fix the issue; May not
72 always know ahead of time how much time is needed but we get in the weeds and take up a lot of time;
73 Okay if we need emergency meeting every now and again, but currently operating at a deficit; Would not
74 attend two meetings a month on regular basis; Overall recommendation: Better time management; Richard:
75 TCD doing more than ever done, correct? Doug: No. Eric: Have more Staff, correct?; Kathy & Doug: Have
76 had 18 staff before and two hour board meetings; Doug: Agrees with Aslan, we need to be more efficient
77 with meeting time; Need to stay on topic (right now as well); Okay with Staff Reports continuing; Eric:
78 Chair will try to do better job of staying on time; Doug: It is all of us; Eric: When overabundance of work
79 on Agenda, will work with Kathy on case-by-case basis to manage meetings
80

81 **Doug moved to retain Staff Reports and they be held in first hour of board meetings. Aslan seconded.**
82 **Discussion: Aslan: Add amendment to say they need to happen earlier to limit Staff overtime. Doug:**
83 **Friendly amendment that Staff Reports be held to first hour of a meetings; Kathy: Historical agenda**
84 **prioritized Partner and Staff Reports early on Agenda to honor time. Vote: All in favor. Motion passed.**
85

86 **6. Executive Session: Evaluate Complaint/Charge and Performance of Employee**
87 ■ Not needed; Removed from Agenda

88 **7. Financial Reports, Amy Franks**

89 **A. Monthly Financial Report**

90 Questions:

- 91 ■ Eric: Is credit card statement in report? Located and referenced in Board Packets
92 ■ Doug: Any anomalies or yellow flags? Amy: No. Received first half of Assessment deposit; Closing out
93 grants that end on June 30th; Doug: Odd that Assessment amount is same, but seems like more
94 development/parcels in County; Amy: Reviewed deposits over last 10 years, approx. \$10-20k increase
95 ■ Doug: Quality and Holiday Inn Express transactions? Robin and Kathleen to attend trainings; Eric: How
96 long was Kathleen's training? 5 days; Eric: Homeaway transaction? Amy F: House for staff to attend
97 Salmon Recovery Conference; Kathy: Cheaper to rent house than hotel rooms
98 ■ Eric: Facebook transaction? Amy: Probably ad for tech position or other events; Kathy: Job announcement
99 after this statement; Amy: Was for other outreach events then

100 **B. Check Register**

101
102 **Check Register Approval: Doug moved to approve the April check register for checks #s 19213 – 19279**
103 **totaling \$110,426.58; No voided checks. Eric (Chair) seconded. No Discussion. Vote: Doug and Eric**
104 **(Chair) in favor. Richard abstained. Motion passed.**
105

106 8. Meeting Minutes Review & Transcription Services Update

107 A. Review of February 21, 2017 Special Meeting Minutes, All

- 108 ■ Kathy read email sent from Samantha: Expressed concerns with track changes to Feb 21st and Feb 28th
109 meeting minutes; “somewhat like re-writing history at this point as no one has clear recollection of what
110 actually happened at February meeting now that it is June.”; Also concerned when minute “taker inserts
111 words like ‘frustrated’ into a statement the recorder is then interpreting feelings...suggests meeting minutes
112 void of ‘feeling words’ that are projections”
- 113 ■ Eric: Spent eight hours reviewing personal audio recording to ensure minutes were correct; Met with
114 Richard and Ashley to review and update; Not editing, more of a correction for accuracy; There is audio
115 recording to support so think it is valid to go forward; Richard stated he was frustrated in the recording
- 116 ■ Richard: Samantha made interesting point and Doug has made in past: We cannot remember what happened
117 in retrospect most of time; This is why he suggests we hire a court recorder to notate exact language;
118 Removes potential for disagreement and creates accuracy; Would save time and money in long run; May be
119 able to negotiate with direct court court recorders, rather than companies; Would provide the accuracy needed;
120 Eric: Would be an unbiased 3rd party; Richard: Yes. Recently experienced this at another meeting and
121 facilitators explained accuracy is the reason for doing it
- 122 ■ Kathy: Stu (when TCD Regional Mgr) warned Board several times that it is best to have brief minutes that
123 limit to memorializing motions; Expressed concern that long transcriptions require longer time to review
- 124 ■ Richard: Also takes long time to review and re-write if there is disagreement; Court recorders are used in
125 court because quicker, easier, and more accurate
- 126 ■ Doug: Take issue with that point; Courts use court recorders (exact transcriptions) because attorneys
127 involved and they take issue with everything; Concur with Samantha’s idea about value-laden statements;
128 Does provide sense of what is going on; Trusts Ashley to get it right; None can get it perfect; No problem
129 with that; Fairly trivial; Eric: Requested clarification; Doug: Agrees with Samantha and trusts Ashley to get
130 it right; Doug: Samantha’s example that someone was frustrated; Ashley cannot read minds; Eric: In this
131 situation, Richard stated he was frustrated; Doug: Would leave that in; Ashley has put in stuff based on
132 value judgement, could not know for sure, except to gauge body language and general communication
133 skills, which he again trusts
- 134 ■ Eric: Reviewed past minutes and past Board Supervisor Dave stated he wanted audio recording because too
135 much personal interpretation in minutes; Ongoing issue for years; Eric starting to lean towards 3rd person,
136 non-biased, accurate, detailed court recorder
- 137 ■ Kathy: Cautionary, must realize these services cost money; Investing more in Board support (meals, etc.);
138 Court recorder is going to be expensive and will have to balance that cost with programs and
139 administration; Eric: Just need to figure it out; Get funding and compare funding for Ashley’s time that is
140 already spent; Free up Staff time for other things
- 141 ■ Doug: Chair’s comments about Robin’s qualifications (line 172-173); What he heard is what Ashley
142 provided in minutes but okay with leaving it out; Eric: Doug can review audio recording; Doug: Not going
143 to listen to five hour recording; Eric: That was point of starting personal audio recordings, because parts
144 were missing from meetings
- 145 ■ Doug: Communication is 90% not words; Cannot pick that up and that is why okay with Ashley
146 interpreting; Point being, just because words are captured in a recording, only part of picture
- 147 ■ Richard: All presented points are valid; Eric agrees
- 148 ■ Ashley: Will work towards developing budget numbers for consideration and comparison before next Board
149 meeting; Will gather information on cost and product for Board to make informed decisions

150
151 Line Edits: None

152
153 **Richard moved to approve February 21, 2017 meeting minutes. Eric (Chair) seconded. No Discussion.**
154 **Vote: Richard and Eric (Chair) in favor. Doug abstained. Motion passed.**
155
156
157
158

159 **B. Review of February 28, 2017 Board Meeting Minutes, All**
160 Line Edits: 175 - Attachment not included; Board agreed to start including all attachments at
161 Board review stage (Board Packet) and for signing; For Feb 28th Minutes, Eric
162 will ensure attachments are included when signed
163 274-277 - States Richard both voted in favor and abstained; Remove Richard
164 from vote in favor; Keep Richard abstained; Eric to check personal record (TCD
165 does not have copy of recording) to see if Samantha voted in favor
166 485 - Doug: If going to say Supervisor left meeting, need to time stamp
167 occurrence; Eric will review personal recording to note estimated time Samantha
168 & Aslan left meeting
169

170 **AI – Eric to review personal audio recording and provide clarification on February 28th meeting minutes**

171
172 **Richard moved to approve February 28, 2017 meeting minutes, as amended. Doug seconded. No**
173 **Discussion. Vote: All in favor (Chair in favor). Motion passed.**
174

175 **C. Review of March 28, 2017 Board Meeting Minutes, All**
176 Line Edits: 342 - State, “Suggested, for example, each Supervisor...”
177

178 **Doug moved to approve March 28, 2017 Board meeting minutes as amended. Richard seconded. No**
179 **discussion. Vote: All in favor. Motion passed.**
180

181 ▪ Aslan joined the meeting at 10:39am; Doug informed Aslan Samantha unable to attend meeting due to sister
182 having a baby; Aslan: Apologized for being late; Requested clarification on Agenda; Eric: Reviewed agenda
183 changes; Kathy: Should come back to Staff Reports at some point to make a decision on whether or not
184 continue to include Staff Reports in monthly Board Meeting agenda; Eric: Re-visit subject that now
185

186 **D. Review of April 28, 2017 Board Meeting Minutes, All**
187 Line Edits:
188

189 **Doug moved to approve April 28, 2017 Board meeting minutes as written. Richard seconded. No**
190 **discussion. Vote: Richard, Doug, & Eric in favor. Aslan abstained. Motion passed.**
191

192 **Doug move to approve April 25th & May 18th meeting minutes as written. Aslan clarified which minutes**
193 **have been reviewed and where we currently are in minutes review; Aslan: Is Eric okay with approving**
194 **without reviewing audio recordings? Eric: Yes. Richard seconded. No discussion. Vote: All in favor.**
195 **Motion passed.**
196

197 **E. Review of April 25, 2017 Board Meeting Minutes, All**
198 ▪ See above

199 **F. Review of May 18, 2017 Board Meeting Minutes, All**
200 ▪ See above

201 **G. Board Meeting Minutes Format/Transcription Services, Ashley McBee**
202 ▪ See above
203

204 **9. Policy Updates, Kathy Whalen**

205 **A. Resolution #02-2017: Board Supervisor Guidelines & Officer Position Descriptions**

206 ▪ Kathy provided context; Board passed motion to resolve requirements to excuse absences in a public
207 meeting as well as number of absences allowed; Staff recognizes Board would like to make additional
208 changes to policy and has not received any edits/feedback to date; Recommends Board approve policy,
209 based on September 2016 approved Board edits to ensure policy on file is up-to-date

210 ▪ Aslan: Clarified that track changes would be removed? Yes.

211 ▪ Kathy: Noted last update on policy; Would change that to state today’s date

- 212 ▪ Eric: Only comment is double-edge sword because this removes responsibility of Supervisors to attend
- 213 meetings; Not sure (on other side) if Board or WSCC has authority to remove Supervisors: Kathy: WSCC
- 214 does have that oversight; Doug: If it is a malfeasance issue, WSCC can remove Supervisors from TCD
- 215 Board
- 216 ▪ Richard: Confused; Looks like it says any and all requirements of Board Supervisors would be removed if
- 217 adopted; Kathy: Not opinionated either way, only recommending approval of updates Board instated in Sept
- 218 2016; Eric: Does not remember update approval; Kathy can check minutes if needed; No direction to do so
- 219 ▪ Doug: Personally does not like colleague making decision if excuse is valid; Wants to see line numbers;
- 220 Would add phrase, "if at all possible" will communicate absence; Chair responsibilities #7: ", and is
- 221 consistent with their direction"; Eric: Clarified. Notify Chair and/or ED "if possible"? Doug: Yes
- 222 ▪ Richard: Seems like Supervisors would not have to show up at any meetings; Kathy: RCW dictates
- 223 Supervisors have to attend meetings; Richard: Why was language requiring attendance put in policy before?
- 224 Eric: Put in place when previous Supervisor could not attend meetings regularly
- 225 ▪ Doug: Suggest approving as is and then fix to state coverage of responsibility in RCW; Kathy: Policy states
- 226 Supervisors are required to carry out responsibilities in accordance with RCW 89.08
- 227 ▪ Aslan: Agree with Richard, if language removed, policy does not provide clear guidelines on what is
- 228 expected of Supervisor attendance at board meetings; Happy to move forward with adoption of updates now
- 229 and return to it
- 230 ▪ Eric: What about putting a minimum requirement per year? Not opposed to previous language (previous to
- 231 September updates); Would say keep the language in; Hears concern this puts burden on Board for
- 232 disciplinary action, but that is our responsibility
- 233 ▪ Aslan: Was Richard on TCD Board in September? Kathy: Yes
- 234 ▪ Eric: Samantha missed three meetings in a quarter, and that is why Board changed policy; Kathy: Current
- 235 updates before Board are based on what was stated at that (Sept) meeting; Doug: Remembers that Samantha
- 236 was on verge of non-compliance
- 237 ▪ Samantha (not present): Clarified at next Board Meeting (6-29-17) she has not missed three meetings in a
- 238 quarter while on the TCD Board
- 239 ▪ Eric: Need to have verbiage for accountability/guidelines; Kathy: Board does not have authority to remove
- 240 someone from office; Can recommend to WSCC
- 241 ▪ Doug: Recommends approving as is (with suggested edits) and Kathy reach out to other CDs to see what
- 242 their policies state regarding Board Supervisor attendance; Kathy: Has done this and TCD is first CD to
- 243 have such a policy
- 244 ▪ Eric: Reasonable to expect Supervisors to come to one of three meetings in a quarter; Has also been a
- 245 violator but has not missed a board meeting since this policy was enacted; Doug: Agrees attendance
- 246 recommendation is reasonable but not appropriate for Board to determine if excusable; Aslan: Absolutely
- 247 agree; What is point of serving on Board if not going to show up? Matter of capturing the language in a
- 248 policy that we are struggling with (excused vs. unexcused determination); Should require advance notice of
- 249 absence, unless extenuating circumstance
- 250 ▪ Eric: Recommends removing 'excused vs. nonexcused' language and say Supervisors cannot miss more
- 251 than two meetings per quarter; Kathy: Can add bullet under responsibilities that says Supervisors cannot
- 252 miss more than two regular board meetings per quarter; Valuable to create stipulation on quarterly (vs.
- 253 annual) term because Supervisors get out of the loop on District business easily; Doug: Okay with Kathy's
- 254 suggestion
- 255 ▪ Eric: No more than one regularly scheduled meeting per quarter; Aslan: Does not agree because emergency
- 256 situations may require Supervisors to miss more than one meeting in a row; Suggests an annual cap;
- 257 Richard: Four meetings per year is still a lot; Point is valid that Supervisors miss a lot of information if they
- 258 miss even one meeting

260 **Aslan moved to add bullet point that Supervisors cannot miss more than four regularly scheduled board**

261 **meetings in a calendar year. Doug seconded. Discussion: Discussion on when to start implementation of**

262 **policy; Kathy: Cannot retroactively implement policy; Eric: Getting there. Eric: Adding one bullet and**

263 **then will be more edits in future. Vote: All in favor. Motion passed.**

264

- 265 ▪ What about excused/not excused language? Aslan: Covered under bullet point that is there; Do not have
- 266 to determine what is excusable
- 267 ▪ Eric: Hearing add “if possible”, notify of absence, as Doug recommended. Strike language that says no
- 268 more than one meeting in a quarter; Strike language that states process for addressing absences; Richard
- 269 agrees; Doug: Will need to have a process if we get in that situation; Add sentence that says “Supervisors
- 270 that missed more than four regularly scheduled board meetings in a calendar year will have opportunity to
- 271 explain absences. The Board will then make a decision on how to proceed based on that information.”
- 272 ▪ Eric: Clarified that updates pertain to regularly scheduled board meetings
- 273 ▪ Aslan: Getting rid of rest of strike through portions? Yes.

274
275 **Aslan moved to accept all edits to Board Supervisor Guidelines & Officer Position Descriptions discussed.**

276 **Doug seconded. No discussion. Vote: All in favor. Motion passed.**

277
278 **AI – Staff will email updated Board Supervisor Guidelines & Officer Position Descriptions policy to**

279 **Supervisors**

280
281 **B. Resolution #06-2017: Policy #4.6 Subcontracting Procedures and Bid Threshold Update**

- 282 ▪ Kathy reviewed context: At April 2017 meeting, Board approved MRSC roster use at TCD; Related policy
- 283 was updated to state this as sole method used to solicit district service providers, and process for use
- 284 ▪ Richard: Does this mean we never use people (service providers) outside of MRSC roster?; Kathy: Can if
- 285 they will get on the roster; Have to agree to go on the roster; Eric: Does not like that idea; Kathy: Not a big
- 286 commitment for them; Otherwise, requires a lot of work for TCD Staff to ensure service providers are
- 287 paying prevailing wage and meeting bond/insurance requirements
- 288 ▪ Eric: Our contractors have to use prevailing wage? Kathy: Yes.
- 289 ▪ Aslan: Also, good for contractor because registration on MRSC roster brings service provider additional
- 290 business? Kathy: Yes. Aslan: Any cons for service providers? No. Eric: What is exact procedure for service
- 291 providers to get on list? Kathy: Getting Amy Franks to answer further questions
- 292 ▪ Richard: What about other services? Cleaning services? Kathy: Our cleaning service provider does not have
- 293 to be on the MRSC roster because we started (and documented) the solicitation process before we registered
- 294 for the roster; What if contractors do not have interest in MRSC roster? Kathy: We use someone else
- 295 ▪ Eric: What does it take to sign up? Don’t want to eliminate best provider? What does it fully entail? Amy:
- 296 Cannot say exact process with certainty; Aslan: Require any significant barriers for service providers?
- 297 Amy: No.
- 298 ▪ Eric: Does landowner have to pay prevailing wage? Amy: No; Just TCD
- 299 ▪ #3c: Eric: What does that mean and why did we take it out? Amy: Don’t know what it means so that’s why
- 300 took it out
- 301 ▪ #6 (pre-update numbering): Eric: Why take it out? Amy: Thought it would go without saying; Eric: Want
- 302 clear guidelines; Leave language in
- 303 ▪ #7: Keep language as well
- 304 ▪ Doug: “5k-299k”: Concerned if someone not on MRCS roster that we can still do business with them;
- 305 Would like to see us keep language that says we can use contractors not on list
- 306 ▪ Amy F: We are to use MRSC roster to query service providers; If does not produce someone, we can look
- 307 outside roster; Eric: What if we find someone at lower cost who will not get on the list? Amy: They would
- 308 have to be on the roster. Kathy: If not willing to go on MRSC roster, and meet all other requirements, would
- 309 have to find someone else
- 310 ▪ Amy F: Can ask MRSC if can establish policy saying we can choose service providers outside of MRSC
- 311 roster; Amy & Kathy: Other CDs use MRSC roster and saves Staff a lot of time and provides insurance that
- 312 we will not make a mistake; Not all Staff trained/experienced in soliciting bids
- 313 ▪ Richard: Appears to limit small businesses/operators
- 314 ▪ Eric: If own business/sole proprietorship, does that preclude using roster; Amy: Can check on that
- 315 ▪ Aslan: If Board does not adopt resolution, does this preclude TCD from conducting business in meantime?
- 316 Kathy: If any unknowns come up will have to hold off until policy is approved
- 317

318 AI – Amy will check with MRCS: Can we establish policy to allow us to hire service providers not on the
319 MRSC roster (lower bids, sole proprietorship) and exact process service providers have to go through to
320 get on MRSC roster

321 AI – Staff will update Subcontracting Procedures and Bid Threshold policy based on Board edits

322 AI – Board will review Subcontracting Procedures and Bid Threshold policy again at next Board meeting

323

324 10. TCD Annual Board Officer Appointments, Kathy Whalen

325 ▪ Moved to next board meeting to allow all five Supervisors to participate in discussion and decision making

326

327 11. Resource Technician Hiring Update, Kathy Whalen

328 ▪ 44 viable applications received; All sub-committee members have copies of applications; Conducting
329 individual ranking and then meeting with group to rank together before scheduling interviews

330 ▪ Eric: Re-applicants? Kathy: Thinks there are a few that have applied again

331

332 12. Standing Agenda Items

333 A. Conservation easement Update, Kathy Whalen

334 ▪ Board of County Commissioners (BOCC) putting Conservation Futures on hold this year, so likely will not
335 be funded

336 ▪ Eric: BOCC supportive of easement initiatives: Doug: Always are in public; Eric will follow up with BOCC
337 to request funding for TCD application; Aslan: Conservation Futures has a process, that will require a
338 formal process to award funds

339 B. TCD Relocation Update, Aslan Meade/Kathy Whalen

340 ▪ Aslan updated on funding and development of Ag Business Park; Movement occurring but cannot discuss
341 all components; Should be able to share more detailed updates by end of summer; Looking more and more
342 like it will become a reality; Would be fantastic if one of those pieces of what can be provided is TCD co-
343 location; Possible to bypass Conservation Futures and go to HCP funding for easement on that site

344 ▪ Aslan stated in his other hats, he would not want to do business with TCD right now; War with Staff and
345 Board; TCD is mired down; Need to get our house in order; Need to be doing business instead of wrestling
346 with each other; Plea to us as an entity to get our house in order

347 ▪ Funding will go to a governing entity that the Economic Development Council (EDC) will set up; EDC will
348 manage funds; Money from State is designated for sewer and water infrastructure; Trans Alta funds can go
349 to many other attached initiatives

350 ▪ Process just went through on last agenda item (Policy #4.6 Subcontracting Procedures and Bid Threshold):
351 That is Board doing Staff work; Getting in the weeds; Board does not have expertise Staff has; Know Eric
352 & Richard feel strongly it is job of Board to “run the district”; Aslan wants someone to come in and explain
353 that phrase and Board responsibilities; Eric: TCD Board has 2+ Supervisors that have ran businesses
354 extensively and ultimately it is on the Board; Have had Staff lie to us; Board doesn’t trust Staff because
355 they have lied in meetings so part of our due diligence to ensure things go well until trust built back; Aslan:
356 Yes. Agreeing to give time to a mediator; Eric has shown proof that there are trust issues but re-creates
357 Staff issues; Does not feel it is consensus of Board; Spend most time on agenda items when a single Board
358 Supervisor has a lot of interest in that item; Eric: Aslan stated that last time, but Eric was just trying to get
359 consensus based on clarity before moving on

360 ▪ Mottman Center Lease Agreement

361 ▪ Kathy provided new lease agreement; 18 month lease at 3% increase; No changes other than dates

362

363 **Doug moved to approve the Mottman Lease as written. Aslan seconded. No discussion. Vote: All in favor.**

364 **Motion passed.**

365

366 C. Rates & Charges Update, Kathy Whalen

367 ▪ Kathy provided update: BOCC meeting last week went very well with open dialogue throughout;
368 Supportive of TCD and implementation of VSP

369 ▪ Next step is another briefing scheduled for July 26th; FCS representative will attend as well

370 ▪ Richard: How long is their presentation? Same as this recent meeting (45 min-1 hour)

- 371 ▪ Richard: Presentation went very well and BOCC receptive
372 ▪ Aslan: Richard did great job of connecting, making it personal, etc.
373

374 **13. Roundtable & Adjourn, All**

375 **A. Update on Treacy Kreger & David Hall Recognition, Ashley McBee**


- 376 ▪ Ashley: Plaques ordered; Will check with Sarah to see if appropriate event upcoming outside of board
377 meeting to present recognition; Doug: Ask Doug & Treacy if they want to come to meeting or other event;
378 Ask David if he wants to receive steak from Joe Hanna

379 **B. November/December Board Meeting Dates, Kathy Whalen**

- 380 ▪ Kathy: Waiting for doodle poll responses from Eric & Richard; Kathy will re-send best dates to Eric &
381 Richard; If none work, will come up with another date
382 ▪ Eric: Might need to have special meeting after findings of investigation
383 ▪ Aslan: Any updates from investigator? Doug: Someone suggested contacting Jim Goche; If she does,
384 should also contact Treacy Kreger and David Hall as well
385 ▪ Kathy: WSCC announced end of biennium funding; TCD requested \$7k for job site management tools,
386 drone, and tool shed replacement; Discussed additional equipment rental program needs and avenues to
387 fund
388 ▪ Aslan provided flyers on internship programs and food safety modernization act workshop
389

390 Aslan moved to adjourn. Richard seconded.

391
392 Respectfully Submitted,

393
394 
395
396 Eric Johnson, Board Chair